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#### Abstract

Contrary to the second order case, biharmonic heat kernels are sign-changing. A deep knowledge of their behaviour may however allow to prove positivity results for solutions of the Cauchy problem. We establish further properties of these kernels, we prove some Lorch-Szegö-type monotonicity results and we give some hints on how to obtain similar results for higher polyharmonic parabolic problems.
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## 1 Introduction

Consider the following Cauchy problem for the biharmonic heat equation

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}+\Delta^{2} u=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}:=\mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, \infty)  \tag{1}\\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n},\end{cases}
$$

where $n \geq 1$ and $u_{0} \in C^{0} \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. It is well-known (see [5] and references therein) that (1) admits a unique global in time solution explicitly given by

$$
u(x, t)=\alpha_{n} t^{-n / 4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} u_{0}(x-y) f_{n}\left(\frac{|y|}{t^{1 / 4}}\right) d y, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}
$$

Here $\alpha_{n}>0$ denotes a suitable normalization constant and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(\eta)=\eta^{1-n} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s^{4}}(\eta s)^{n / 2} J_{(n-2) / 2}(\eta s) d s \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{\nu}$ denotes the $\nu$-th Bessel function of the first kind.
Contrary to the second order heat equation, no general positivity preserving property holds for (1), namely the positivity of the initial datum $u_{0}$ may not imply positivity (in space and time) for the solution $u=u(x, t)$ of (1). However, a careful analysis of the kernels $f_{n}$ in (2) enables us to obtain some restricted and somehow hidden positivity, see [5, 9]. This property is called eventual local positivity and reflects the fact that, for suitable initial data, the solution of (1) becomes positive on compact domains of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for sufficiently large time $t$ and the time depends on the compact set itself.
Let us also mention that positivity for (1) with a source term (namely $u_{t}+\Delta^{2} u=f$ ) has been studied in [2] for linear problems when $f=f(x, t)$ and in [5] for nonlinear problems when $f=|u|^{p-1} u$ for $p>1+4 / n$ (the so-called super-Fujita case, see [6]). See also [8] for estimates, existence and decay of global solutions. We also refer [3, 4] for related and blow-up results in the case $f=|u|^{p}$.
A better understanding of the behaviour of the kernels will certainly allow to reach stronger results on positivity of solutions to (1). This is precisely the first goal of the present paper. After recalling in

[^0]Section 2 some known results, in Section 3 we establish some new features of the $f_{n}$-functions. These features enable us to reach the second purpose of this paper, namely Lorch-Szegö-type monotonicity results for the $f$-functions, see Section 4 . This means that the sequence of moduli of certain weighted integrals of $f_{n}$ between consecutive zeros of $f_{n}$ is monotonically decreasing. Let us briefly explain how such monotonicity results may be used in order to obtain eventual local positivity. If the initial datum $u_{0}$ is positive and behaves like $|x|^{-\beta}(0<\beta<n)$ at $\infty$ (see (9)), it was proved in [5] that one should know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \eta^{-\beta}\left[\eta^{n-1} f_{n}(\eta)\right] d \eta=\int_{0}^{\infty} \eta^{n-\beta-2}\left[\eta f_{n}(\eta)\right] d \eta>0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is this constant times $t^{-\beta / 4}$ which locally gives the asymptotic behaviour for $t \rightarrow \infty$ of the solution of the Cauchy problem. Below we will prove a Lorch-Szegö-type monotonicity result for $\eta \mapsto \eta f_{n}(\eta)$, $(n \geq 2)$, so that positivity of the above integral is immediate for $\beta \in[(n-2), n)$. In [5], inequality (3) (and more) was proved without referring explicitly to Lorch-Szegö-type results, but we think that our present approach gives a more natural interpretation of certain eventual local positivity features.
Finally, in Section 5 we give hints on how to extend some properties of the kernels and their consequences to polyharmonic heat equations.

## 2 Preliminaries and notations

Let us first recall that thanks to Galaktionov-Pohožaev [7], we know that the $f$-functions have exponential decay at infinity. More precisely, for any integer $n \geq 1$ there exist $K=K_{n}>0, \mu=\mu_{n}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{n}(\eta)\right| \leq K \exp \left(-\mu \eta^{4 / 3}\right) \quad \text { for all } \eta \geq 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we recall some properties of the $f$-functions proved in [5]. Firstly, a recursion formula holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)=-\eta f_{n+2}(\eta) \quad \text { for all } n \geq 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $f_{n}$ satisfies the following third order differential equation (see [5, Theorem 6])

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\eta)+\frac{n-1}{\eta} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)-\frac{n-1}{\eta^{2}} f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)-\frac{\eta}{4} f_{n}(\eta)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we shall also exploit in the following equivalent form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta f_{n}\right)^{\prime}(\eta)=\frac{\eta}{4} f_{n}(\eta) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to (5)-(6), in [5] the following result was proved

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \eta^{n-1-\beta} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta>0 \quad \text { for all integer } n \geq 1 \text { and all } \beta \in[0, n) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In turn, (8) was used to prove the eventual local positivity property for (1) with initial data of the kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}(x)=\frac{1}{g(x)+|x|^{\beta}} \quad \text { where } g \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \text {satisfies } \quad \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x)}{|x|^{\beta}}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\beta \in[0, n)$. By eventual local positivity we mean that the solution of (1) is (locally) positive on compact domains of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for (eventual) sufficiently large time $t$. The proof of (8) is quite lengthy and delicate.

It is also shown in $[5$, Theorem 7$]$ that $f_{n}(\eta)$ changes sign infinitely many times as $\eta \rightarrow+\infty$. For a fixed $n$, we denote by $\left\{\zeta_{j}\right\}$ the sequence of all the zeroes of $f_{n}$ :

$$
f_{n}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)=0 \quad 0<\zeta_{1}<\zeta_{2}<\ldots
$$

In some situations, we need to distinguish between "ascending" zeroes (where $f_{n}^{\prime}>0$ ) and "descending" zeroes (where $f_{n}^{\prime}<0$ ). To this end, we denote by $P_{k}$ (resp. $N_{k}$ ) the successive intervals, where $f_{n}$ is positive (resp. negative) so that we have

$$
[0, \infty)=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\overline{P_{k}} \cup \overline{N_{k}}\right)
$$

Moreover, we write

$$
z_{k}^{+}:=\sup P_{k}=\inf N_{k}, \quad z_{k}^{-}:=\sup N_{k}=\inf P_{k+1} \quad(k \in \mathbb{N})
$$

so that $\cup_{k}\left\{z_{k}^{ \pm}\right\} \equiv \cup_{j}\left\{\zeta_{j}\right\}$ are the zeroes of $f_{n}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{k} \in P_{k} \quad \text { be such that } \quad f_{n}\left(\mu_{k}\right) & =\max _{\eta \in P_{k}} f_{n}(\eta) \\
m_{k} \in N_{k} \quad \text { be such that } \quad f_{n}\left(m_{k}\right) & =\min _{\eta \in N_{k}} f_{n}(\eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $f_{n}^{\prime}\left(\mu_{k}\right)=f_{n}^{\prime}\left(m_{k}\right)=0$ and we know that

$$
0=\mu_{1}<z_{1}^{+}<m_{1}<z_{1}^{-}<\mu_{2}<z_{2}^{+}<m_{2}<z_{2}^{-}<\ldots
$$

## 3 Behaviour of the $f$-functions at some special points

### 3.1 Behaviour at zeroes

Proposition 1. Assume $n \geq 4$. Then, for all $j \geq 1$ we have $\zeta_{j}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)\right|>\zeta_{j+1}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{j+1}\right)\right|$.
Proof. We use (7) and obtain, observing that $f_{n}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)=f_{n}\left(\zeta_{j+1}\right)=0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta_{j+1}^{2} f_{n}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{j+1}\right)^{2}-\zeta_{j}^{2} f_{n}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)^{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}}\left(\eta^{2} f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)^{2}\right)^{\prime} d \eta \\
& =-\int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}}\left(\eta f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)^{2}+\eta^{2} f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta) f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)\right) d \eta=(n-2) \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}} \eta f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)^{2} d \eta-\int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}} \eta^{2} f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta) \Delta f_{n}(\eta) d \eta \\
& =(n-2) \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}} \eta f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)^{2} d \eta+2 \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}} \eta f_{n}(\eta) \Delta f_{n}(\eta) d \eta+\int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}} \eta^{2} f_{n}(\eta)\left(\Delta f_{n}\right)^{\prime}(\eta) d \eta \\
& =(n-4) \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}} \eta f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)^{2} d \eta+2(n-2) \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}} f_{n}(\eta) f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta) d \eta+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}} \eta^{3} f_{n}(\eta)^{2} d \eta \\
& =(n-4) \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}} \eta f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)^{2} d \eta+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}} \eta^{3} f_{n}(\eta)^{2} d \eta>0
\end{aligned}
$$

since we assume that $n \geq 4$.
In lower dimensions one has a slightly weaker statement:

Proposition 2. For any $n \geq 1$ and any $j \geq 1$ one has $\left|f_{n}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)\right|>\left|f_{n}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{j+1}\right)\right|$.
Proof. The differential equation (7) directly shows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & <\int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}} \frac{\eta}{4} f_{n}(\eta)^{2} d \eta=\int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}} f_{n}(\eta)\left(\Delta f_{n}\right)^{\prime}(\eta) d \eta=-\int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}} f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta) \Delta f_{n}(\eta) d \eta \\
& =-\int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}} f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta) f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta) d \eta-(n-1) \int_{\zeta_{j}}^{\zeta_{j+1}} \frac{f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)^{2}}{\eta} d \eta \leq-\frac{1}{2} f_{n}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{j+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} f_{n}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the statement follows.

### 3.2 Behaviour at critical points

We observe first that in successive local maxima and minima, the $f$-functions are decreasing and increasing, respectively.

Proposition 3. For any $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$ we have

$$
f_{n}\left(\mu_{k}\right)>f_{n}\left(\mu_{k+1}\right), \quad f_{n}\left(m_{k}\right)<f_{n}\left(m_{k+1}\right)
$$

Proof. The statement follows directly from the Lorch-Szegö-type Theorem 1, which will be proved below, and integrating the recurrence relation (5).

Proposition 4. For all $n \geq 2$ and all $k \geq 1$ we have $\left|f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{k}\right)\right|>\left|f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(m_{k}\right)\right|>\left|f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{k+1}\right)\right|>0$. For all $k \geq 1$ we have $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{k}\right)<0$ and $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(m_{k}\right)>0$.

Proof. Assume first that $n \geq 2$. Since different $f$-functions are involved in the proof, we denote here $\mu_{k}^{n}, m_{k}^{n}$ and $\mu_{k+1}^{n}$ in order to emphasize their dependence on $n$. In view of the recursion formula (5), we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n+2}\left(\mu_{k}^{n}\right)=f_{n+2}\left(m_{k}^{n}\right)=f_{n+2}\left(\mu_{k+1}^{n}\right)=0 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n+2 \geq 4$, by Proposition 1 we then obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{k}^{n}\left|f_{n+2}^{\prime}\left(\mu_{k}^{n}\right)\right|>m_{k}^{n}\left|f_{n+2}^{\prime}\left(m_{k}^{n}\right)\right|>\mu_{k+1}^{n}\left|f_{n+2}^{\prime}\left(\mu_{k+1}^{n}\right)\right| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating (5), we get $f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)=-f_{n+2}(\eta)-\eta f_{n+2}^{\prime}(\eta)$. This, combined with (10)-(11) gives

$$
\left|f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{k}^{n}\right)\right|=\mu_{k}^{n}\left|f_{n+2}^{\prime}\left(\mu_{k}^{n}\right)\right|>m_{k}^{n}\left|f_{n+2}^{\prime}\left(m_{k}^{n}\right)\right|=\left|f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(m_{k}^{n}\right)\right|>\mu_{k+1}^{n}\left|f_{n+2}^{\prime}\left(\mu_{k+1}^{n}\right)\right|=\left|f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{k+1}^{n}\right)\right|
$$

The first two inequalities in the statement (for $n \geq 2$ ) are so proved. The last one holds since if we would have equality we would violate $\left|f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{k+1}\right)\right|>\left|f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(m_{k+1}\right)\right|$.
Assume now that $n=1$. Then (6) tells us that $f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\eta)<0$ for $\eta \in\left(z_{k}^{+}, z_{k}^{-}\right)$so that the map $\eta \mapsto f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)$ is strictly decreasing. Clearly, $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(m_{k}\right) \geq 0$; if $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(m_{k}\right)=0$, then the just mentioned monotonicity would imply $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)<0$ for $\eta \in\left(m_{k}, z_{k}^{-}\right]$, contradicting the fact that $m_{k}$ is a relative minimum for $f_{1}$. Similarly, one may proceed to show that $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{k}\right)<0$.

Proposition 5. Let $n \geq 1$ and let $0<\alpha<\beta$ be two critical points for $f_{n}$. Then the two following identities hold:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{4} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \eta f_{n}(\eta) d \eta=f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\beta)-f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) \\
\frac{1}{4} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \eta^{n-1} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta=-2(n-2) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \eta^{n-4} f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta) d \eta+\beta^{n-2} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\beta)-\alpha^{n-2} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)
\end{gathered}
$$

when $n \geq 3$, the second identity also holds if $\alpha=0$.

Proof. An integration by parts yields

$$
\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)}{\eta} d \eta=\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)}{\eta^{2}} d \eta
$$

The first identity then follows by integrating (6) over $[\alpha, \beta]$.
Next, notice that further integrations by parts yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \eta^{n-2} f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\eta) d \eta & =(2-n) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \eta^{n-3} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta) d \eta+\beta^{n-2} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\beta)-\alpha^{n-2} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) \\
\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \eta^{n-3} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta) d \eta & =(3-n) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \eta^{n-4} f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta) d \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

The second identity then follows by multiplying (6) by $\eta^{n-2}$ and integrating.
By combining Propositions 4 and 5 we immediately obtain
Corollary 1. Let $n \geq 2$. Then, for any $k \geq 1$, we have:

$$
\int_{\mu_{k}}^{\mu_{k+1}} \eta f_{n}(\eta) d \eta>0
$$

Another interesting property which holds on critical points is the following:
Proposition 6. Let $n \geq 1$ and let $0<\alpha<\beta$ be two critical points for $f_{n}$. Then

$$
4 f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\beta)^{2}+(2-n) f_{n}(\beta)^{2}<4 f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)^{2}+(2-n) f_{n}(\alpha)^{2}
$$

Proof. By using (7) and integrating by parts we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[4 f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\beta)^{2}+(2-n) f_{n}(\beta)^{2}\right]-\left[4 f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)^{2}+(2-n) f_{n}(\alpha)^{2}\right]} \\
& \quad=\left[4 \Delta f_{n}(\beta)^{2}+(2-n) f_{n}(\beta)^{2}\right]-\left[4 \Delta f_{n}(\alpha)^{2}+(2-n) f_{n}(\alpha)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad=\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left[4 \Delta f_{n}(\eta)^{2}+(2-n) f_{n}(\eta)^{2}\right]^{\prime} d \eta \\
& \\
& =\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left[8 \Delta f_{n}(\eta)\left(\Delta f_{n}\right)^{\prime}(\eta)+2(2-n) f_{n}(\eta) f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right] d \eta \\
& \\
& =2 \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left[\eta f_{n}(\eta) \Delta f_{n}(\eta)+(2-n) f_{n}(\eta) f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right] d \eta \\
& \\
& =2 \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left[\eta f_{n}(\eta) f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)+f_{n}(\eta) f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right] d \eta \\
& \\
& =2 \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left(\eta f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right)^{\prime} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta \\
&
\end{aligned}=-2 \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \eta f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)^{2} d \eta<0 .
$$

This proves the statement.

Proposition 7. Let $n \geq 1$ and let $k \geq 1$. Then,

$$
\int_{m_{k}}^{\mu_{k+1}} \eta^{n-1} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta<0, \quad \int_{\mu_{k+1}}^{m_{k+1}} \eta^{n-1} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta>0
$$

If $n \geq 3$, then the second inequality also holds when integrated over $\left[\mu_{1}, m_{1}\right]=\left[0, m_{1}\right]$.
Proof. Since the second inequality follows similarly, we only prove the first one.
Consider first the case $n=1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{m_{k}}^{\mu_{k+1}} f_{1}(\eta) d \eta & =\frac{1}{z_{k}^{-}} \int_{m_{k}}^{z_{k}^{-}} z_{k}^{-} f_{1}(\eta) d \eta+\frac{1}{z_{k}^{-}} \int_{z_{k}^{-}}^{\mu_{k+1}} z_{k}^{-} f_{1}(\eta) d \eta \\
& <\frac{1}{z_{k}^{-}} \int_{m_{k}}^{z_{k}^{-}} \eta f_{1}(\eta) d \eta+\frac{1}{z_{k}^{-}} \int_{z_{k}^{-}}^{\mu_{k+1}} \eta f_{1}(\eta) d \eta=\frac{1}{z_{k}^{-}} \int_{m_{k}}^{\mu_{k+1}} \eta f_{1}(\eta) d \eta \\
& =\frac{4}{z_{k}^{-}}\left(f_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{k+1}\right)-f_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(m_{k}\right)\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used Proposition 5 in the last equality and Proposition 4 for the last inequality.
Consider now the case $n \geq 2$. Because of Proposition 2 and (5) we have $f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)>0$ for all $\eta \in\left(m_{k}, \mu_{k+1}\right)$. Moreover, by Proposition 4 we know that $\mu_{k+1}^{n-2} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{k+1}\right)<0$ and $m_{k}^{n-2} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(m_{k}\right)>0$. Therefore, by Proposition 5 we get

$$
\frac{1}{4} \int_{m_{k}}^{\mu_{k+1}} \eta^{n-1} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta=-2(n-2) \int_{m_{k}}^{\mu_{k+1}} \eta^{n-4} f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta) d \eta+\mu_{k+1}^{n-2} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{k+1}\right)-m_{k}^{n-2} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(m_{k}\right)<0
$$

The first inequality is so proved also for $n \geq 2$.

### 3.3 Behaviour of the second derivative

Proposition 8. For any $n \geq 1$ and any $k \geq 1$, the following two facts hold:

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)<0 \text { and } f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\eta)>0 \quad \text { for all } \eta \in\left(z_{k}^{-}, \mu_{k+1}\right] \\
f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)>0 \text { and } f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\eta)<0 \quad \text { for all } \eta \in\left(z_{k}^{+}, m_{k}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Fix $k \geq 1$ and take $\eta \in\left(z_{k}^{-}, \mu_{k+1}\right)$; then, $f_{n}(\eta)>0$ and $f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)>0$. Using (6) we then obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\eta)+\frac{n-1}{\eta} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)>0 \quad \text { for all } \eta \in\left(z_{k}^{-}, \mu_{k+1}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu_{k+1}$ is a maximum point for $f_{n}$, by Proposition 4 we infer that $f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{k+1}\right)<0$. By continuity, there exists $\bar{\eta}<\mu_{k+1}$ such that $f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)<0$ for all $\eta \in\left(\bar{\eta}, \mu_{k+1}\right]$. The first statement follows if we show that $\bar{\eta} \leq z_{k}^{-}$. For contradiction, assume that $\bar{\eta}>z_{k}^{-}$. Then, we would have $f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\bar{\eta})=0$ and $f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\bar{\eta}) \leq 0$ since $f_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ becomes negative for $\eta>\bar{\eta}$. This contradicts (12). Therefore, $f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)<0$ for all $\eta \in\left(z_{k}^{-}, \mu_{k+1}\right)$. By using again (6), we then also infer that $f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\eta)>0$ for all $\eta \in\left(z_{k}^{-}, \mu_{k+1}\right]$.
By reversing all the signs, we obtain similarly the statement in $\left(z_{k}^{+}, m_{k}\right]$.
When $n=1$ we have a more precise description of flex points:
Proposition 9. For any $k \geq 1$ there exists a unique $\eta_{k} \in\left[\mu_{k}, m_{k}\right]$ and a unique $\eta^{k} \in\left[m_{k}, \mu_{k+1}\right]$ such that $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{k}\right)=f_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta^{k}\right)=0$. Moreover, $\eta_{k} \in\left(\mu_{k}, z_{k}^{+}\right]$and $\eta^{k} \in\left(m_{k}, z_{k}^{-}\right]$.
Proof. Since the proof of the two statements is similar, we only prove the first one. By Proposition 4 we have $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{k}\right)<0$ and $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(m_{k}\right)>0$. Therefore, the equation $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)=0$ has an odd number of solutions in $\left(\mu_{k}, m_{k}\right)$. By Proposition 8 the equation $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)=0$ has no solutions in $\left(z_{k}^{+}, m_{k}\right]$. Assume for contradiction that $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)=0$ has at least three solutions in $\left[\mu_{k}, z_{k}^{+}\right]$. Then, in a descending flex $\eta^{*} \in\left(\mu_{k}, z_{k}^{+}\right)$we have $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta^{*}\right)=0$ and $f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\eta^{*}\right) \leq 0$. This contradicts (6) since $f_{1}\left(\eta^{*}\right)>0$.

### 3.4 Integral properties on intervals containing 0

Proposition 10. For all $n \geq 1$ and all $\gamma>-1$ the following implication holds:

$$
\int_{0}^{a} \eta^{\gamma+2} f_{n+2}(\eta) d \eta \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } a>0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \int_{0}^{a} \eta^{\gamma} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } a>0
$$

Proof. In order to prove the statement it suffices to show that if the first inequality is true, then

$$
\int_{0}^{z_{k}^{-}} \eta^{\gamma} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } k \geq 1
$$

By integrating by parts and (5) we have

$$
\int_{0}^{z_{k}^{-}} \eta^{\gamma} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta=-\frac{1}{\gamma+1} \int_{0}^{z_{k}^{-}} \eta^{\gamma+1} f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta) d \eta=\frac{1}{\gamma+1} \int_{0}^{z_{k}^{-}} \eta^{\gamma+2} f_{n+2}(\eta) d \eta \geq 0
$$

and the statement follows.
Proposition 11. For all $n \geq 1$, all $k \geq 1$ and all $\gamma>-1$ the following identity holds:
$\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{z_{k}^{-}} \eta^{\gamma+4} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta=(\gamma+1)(\gamma+3)(n-\gamma-3) \int_{0}^{z_{\bar{k}}^{-}} \eta^{\gamma} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta+\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)^{\gamma+3} \Delta f_{n}\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)-(\gamma+3)\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)^{\gamma+2} f_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)$.
Proof. Using (7) and several integrations by parts yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{z_{k}^{-}} \eta^{\gamma+4} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta=\int_{0}^{z_{k}^{-}} \eta^{\gamma+3}\left(\Delta f_{n}\right)^{\prime}(\eta) d \eta \\
& \quad=-(\gamma+3) \int_{0}^{z_{k}^{-}}\left[\eta^{\gamma+2} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)+(n-1) \eta^{\gamma+1} f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right] d \eta+\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)^{\gamma+3} \Delta f_{n}\left(z_{k}^{-}\right) \\
& \quad=(\gamma+1)(\gamma+3)(n-\gamma-3) \int_{0}^{z_{k}^{-}} \eta^{\gamma} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta+\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)^{\gamma+3} \Delta f_{n}\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)-(\gamma+3)\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)^{\gamma+2} f_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}^{-}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the statement.

## 4 Lorch-Szegö-type monotonicity results for the $f$-functions

Theorem 1. For any $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$ we have that

$$
\int_{z_{k}^{-}}^{z_{k+1}^{-}} \eta f_{n}(\eta) d \eta>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{z_{k}^{+}}^{z_{k+1}^{+}} \eta f_{n}(\eta) d \eta<0
$$

If additionally $n \geq 2$ then we also have that

$$
\int_{0}^{z_{1}^{-}} \eta f_{n}(\eta) d \eta>0
$$

Proof. We first claim that for all $k \geq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f_{n}\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)<0, \quad \Delta f_{n}\left(z_{k}^{+}\right)>0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end, we remark that (7) shows that $\Delta f_{n}$ is strictly increasing on $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k}$ and strictly decreasing on $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} N_{k}$. In the local minima $m_{k} \in N_{k}$ we have that $\Delta f_{n}\left(m_{k}\right)=f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(m_{k}\right) \geq 0$ and so for $z_{k}^{+}=\inf N_{k}$ we conclude that $\Delta f_{n}\left(z_{k}^{+}\right)>0$. Analogously, $\mu_{k+1} \in P_{k+1}, \Delta f_{n}\left(\mu_{k+1}\right)=f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{k+1}\right) \leq 0, z_{k}^{-}=\inf P_{k+1}$, $\Delta f_{n}\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)<0$. Hence, $(13)$ is proved.
Next, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f_{n}\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)<\Delta f_{n}\left(z_{k+1}^{-}\right)<0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove (14), we multiply (7) by $\Delta f_{n}$. Then, by integrating over $\left(z_{k}^{-}, z_{k+1}^{-}\right)$we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left(\Delta f_{n}(\eta)\right)^{2}\right]_{z_{k}^{-}}^{z_{k+1}^{-}} } & =2 \int_{z_{k}^{-}}^{z_{k+1}^{-}} \Delta f_{n}(\eta)\left(\Delta f_{n}\right)^{\prime}(\eta) d \eta \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{z_{k}^{-}}^{z_{k+1}^{-}} \eta f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta) f_{n}(\eta) d \eta+\frac{n-1}{2} \int_{z_{k}^{-}}^{z_{k+1}^{-}} f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta) f_{n}(\eta) d \eta \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{z_{k}^{-}}^{z_{k+1}^{-}} \eta f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)^{2} d \eta<0
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\Delta f_{n}\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)^{2}>\Delta f_{n}\left(z_{k+1}^{-}\right)^{2}$. Together with (13), this proves (14).
We may now obtain the first statement of Theorem 1 from the differential equation (7) by integration:

$$
\frac{1}{4} \int_{z_{k}^{-}}^{z_{k+1}^{-}} \eta f_{n}(\eta) d \eta=\int_{z_{k}^{-}}^{z_{k+1}^{-}}\left(\Delta f_{n}\right)^{\prime}(\eta) d \eta=\left(\Delta f_{n}\right)\left(z_{k+1}^{-}\right)-\left(\Delta f_{n}\right)\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)>0
$$

In the last step we made use of (14). The inequality $\int_{z_{k}^{+}}^{z_{k+1}^{+}} \eta f_{n}(\eta) d \eta<0$ may be proved similarly. Assuming now $n \geq 2$ and integrating over ( $0, z_{1}^{-}$) we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\Delta f_{n}(\eta)\right]_{0}^{z_{1}^{-}} } & =2 \int_{0}^{z_{1}^{-}} \Delta f_{n}(\eta)\left(\Delta f_{n}\right)^{\prime}(\eta) d \eta \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{z_{1}^{-}} \eta f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta) f_{n}(\eta) d \eta+\frac{n-1}{2} \int_{0}^{z_{1}^{-}} f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta) f_{n}(\eta) d \eta \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{z_{1}^{-}} \eta f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)^{2} d \eta+\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{0}^{z_{1}^{-}} f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta) f_{n}(\eta) d \eta \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{z_{1}^{-}} \eta f_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)^{2} d \eta-\frac{n-2}{4} f_{n}(0)^{2}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\Delta f_{n}(0)<\Delta f_{n}\left(z_{1}^{-}\right)<0
$$

The last statement of Theorem 1 follows now with the same argument as above.
When starting integration from $\eta=0$, the following result holds:
Theorem 2. Let $n \geq 3$ and assume that $h \in C^{1}(0,+\infty)$ satisfies $h(\eta)>0, h^{\prime}(\eta) \leq 0$ for all $\eta>0$ and

$$
\int_{0} h(\eta) \eta^{(n-1) / 2} d \eta<\infty
$$

Then, for any $a>0$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{a} h(\eta) \eta^{(n-1) / 2} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta>0
$$

Proof. By making use of the definition of $f_{n}$ we see that

$$
I_{a}:=\int_{0}^{a} h(\eta) \eta^{(n-1) / 2} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta=\int_{0}^{a} h(\eta) \eta^{(1-n) / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s^{4}}(\eta s)^{n / 2} J_{(n-2) / 2}(\eta s) d s d \eta
$$

Therefore, the change of variables $z=\eta s$ yields

$$
I_{a}=\int_{0}^{a} h(\eta) \eta^{-(n+1) / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(z / \eta)^{4}} z^{n / 2} J_{(n-2) / 2}(z) d z d \eta
$$

In view of the integrability condition on $\eta \mapsto h(\eta) \eta^{(n-1) / 2}$, Fubini's Theorem implies that

$$
I_{a}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\sqrt{z} J_{(n-2) / 2}(z)\right) z^{(n-1) / 2} \int_{0}^{a} h(\eta) \eta^{-(n+1) / 2} e^{-(z / \eta)^{4}} d \eta d z
$$

For all $z>0$, let

$$
g(z):=z^{(n-1) / 2} \int_{0}^{a} h(\eta) \eta^{-(n+1) / 2} e^{-(z / \eta)^{4}} d \eta
$$

Then, by differentiating and integrating by parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(z) & =\frac{n-1}{2} z^{(n-3) / 2} \int_{0}^{a} h(\eta) \eta^{-(n+1) / 2} e^{-(z / \eta)^{4}} d \eta-z^{(n-1) / 2} \int_{0}^{a} h(\eta) \eta^{-(n+1) / 2} \frac{4 z^{3}}{\eta^{4}} e^{-(z / \eta)^{4}} d \eta \\
& =z^{(n-3) / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{a} h^{\prime}(\eta) \eta^{(1-n) / 2} e^{-(z / \eta)^{4}} d \eta-h(a) a^{(1-n) / 2} e^{-(z / a)^{4}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h^{\prime} \leq 0$ and $h>0$, this shows that $g$ is strictly decreasing. Since we assumed $n \geq 3$, the Lorch-Szegö Theorem [10] (see also [1, Corollary 4.15.2]) applies and the proof is complete.

In the particular case of powers, we have the following statement
Theorem 3. Let $n \geq 3$. Then for any $\gamma \in\left(-1, \frac{n-1}{2}\right]$ and any $a>0$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{a} \eta^{\gamma} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta>0
$$

Let $n \geq 1$. Then, for any $k \geq 1$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{z_{k}^{-}} \eta^{n+1} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta<0
$$

Proof. The first statement is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.
By taking $\gamma=n-3$ in Proposition 11, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{z_{k}^{-}} \eta^{n+1} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta=\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)^{n} \Delta f_{n}\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)-n\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)^{n-1} f_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)<0
$$

where the inequality follows from (13) and from the fact that $f_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}^{-}\right)>0$.
Remark 1. In view of the discussion in the introduction, see (3), it would be interesting to prove Theorem 1 not only for $\eta f_{n}(\eta)$ but also for $\eta^{\gamma} f_{n}(\eta)$ with $\gamma \geq 1$ as large as possible. This is related to finding the largest value $\gamma_{n}$ of $\gamma$ for which

$$
\int_{0}^{a} \eta^{\gamma} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } a>0
$$

Note that for any $\gamma \in\left(-1, \gamma_{n}\right)$ the above integral is finite and strictly positive. By Theorem 3 we know that for any $n \geq 1$ we have $\gamma_{n}<n+1$. Moreover, if $n \geq 3$ then $\gamma_{n} \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$, see again Theorem 3. If $n=2$, then $\gamma_{2} \geq 1$, see Theorem 1. If $n=1$, by repeating the arguments in the proof of $[5$, Lemma A.4], we have that

$$
\int_{0}^{z_{1}^{-}} f_{1}(\eta) d \eta>0
$$

which, combined with Theorem 1, yields $\gamma_{1} \geq 0$. By Proposition 10 we know that $\gamma_{n+2} \leq \gamma_{n}+2$. Although (8) holds for $\beta=0$, numerical experiments suggest that $\gamma_{n}<n-1$, at least in high dimensions $n$. For instance, if $n=20$, it seems that $16<\gamma_{20}<17$.

## 5 Extensions to polyharmonic heat kernels

In this section we briefly sketch some properties of higher order polyharmonic heat kernels. The proofs can be obtained by slightly modifying the arguments in [5]. So, for $m \geq 2$ consider the following Cauchy problem for the polyharmonic heat equation

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}+(-\Delta)^{m} u=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}  \tag{15}\\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n}\end{cases}
$$

where $n \geq 1$ and $u_{0} \in C^{0} \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then, (1) admits a unique global in time solution explicitly given by

$$
u(x, t)=\alpha t^{-n / 2 m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} u_{0}(x-y) f_{m, n}\left(\frac{|y|}{t^{1 / 2 m}}\right) d y \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}
$$

where $\alpha=\alpha_{m, n}>0$ is a suitable normalization constant and

$$
f_{m, n}(\eta)=\eta^{1-n} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2 m}}(\eta s)^{n / 2} J_{(n-2) / 2}(\eta s) d s
$$

By arguing as in [5] and using [1, Section 4.62], we find that (5) still holds, independently of $m$. Moreover, the following $(2 m-1)$-order differential equation generalizes (7):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\Delta^{m-1} f_{m, n}\right]^{\prime}(\eta)=\frac{(-1)^{m}}{2 m} \eta f_{m, n}(\eta) \quad \text { for all } n \geq 1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward that (16) coincides with (7) if $m=2$, whereas it reduces to $f^{\prime}(\eta)=-\frac{1}{2} \eta f(\eta)$ whenever $m=1$ (recall that in the latter case, the kernel $f$ is independent of $n$ ).
With these two identities, we can prove results similar to (8). We can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{m, n, \beta}:=\int_{0}^{\infty} \eta^{n-1-\beta} f_{m, n}(\eta) d \eta>0 \quad \text { for all integer } n \geq 1 \text { and all } \beta \in[0, n) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of (17) follows the lines of [5], that is, we combine the use of Fubini's Theorem, LorchSzegö Theorem, several integration by parts and two crucial recurrence formulas based on (5) and (16), namely

$$
C_{m, n, \beta}=2 m \prod_{j=1}^{m-1}[(2 m+\beta-2 j)(n+2 j-2 m-\beta)] C_{m, n+2, \beta+2 m} \quad \text { for all } \beta \in(0, n+2-2 m)
$$

Clearly, we expect that (17) may enable one to prove eventual local positivity results for (15) when $u_{0}$ is as in (9).
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