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#### Abstract

We consider the one-dimensional Willmore equation subject to Navier boundary conditions, i.e. the position and the curvature are prescribed on the boundary. In a previous work, explicit symmetric solutions to symmetric data have been constructed. Within a certain range of boundary curvatures one has precisely two symmetric solutions while for boundary curvatures outside the closure of this range there are none. The solutions are ordered; one is "small", the other "large". In the first part of this paper we address the stability problem and show that the small solution is (linearized) stable in the whole open range of admissible boundary curvatures, while the large one is unstable and has Morse index 1. A second goal is to investigate whether the small solution is minimal for the corresponding Willmore functional. It turns out that for a certain subrange of admissible boundary curvatures the small solution is the unique minimum, while for curvatures outside that range the minimum is not attained. As a by-product of our argument we show that for any admissible function there exists a symmetric function with smaller Willmore energy.


## 1 Introduction

Recently, Willmore surfaces (see[W]) and the related flow attracted quite some attraction, see e.g. [BK, KS1, KS2, KS3, MS, Sn, St], [DD] for numerical studies and [P, DKS] for elastic curves, which are the one-dimensional analoga. The mentioned work is concerned with closed surfaces and curves while only very few results concerning boundary value problems are available. Quite recently, Schätzle [Sch] considered Willmore surfaces with boundary, which are subject to the constraint to be submanifolds of $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ and which satisfy Dirichlet type boundary conditions.

In order to gain some more insight in general boundary conditions for the "free" Willmore equation, in [DG] we had a look at the one-dimensional case, where in some situations, almost explicit solutions can be found for suitable boundary value problems. For further background information and references, see [DG] and also [Nit]. In [DG], we were interested in Willmore graphs and studied among others the Navier boundary value problem with symmetric data $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ for the one-dimensional Willmore equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}}} \frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{\kappa^{\prime}(x)}{\sqrt{1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \kappa^{3}(x)=0, \quad x \in(0,1),  \tag{1}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0, \quad \kappa(0)=\kappa(1)=-\alpha .
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(x)=\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{u^{\prime}(x)}{\sqrt{1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}}}\right)=\frac{u^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

denotes the curvature of the graph of $u$ at the point $(x, u(x))$. Solutions of (1) are critical points of the modified one-dimensional Willmore functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{W}_{\alpha}(u)=\int_{\operatorname{graph}(u)}\left(\kappa(x)^{2}+2 \alpha \kappa(x)\right) d s(x)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\kappa(x)^{2}+2 \alpha \kappa(x)\right) \sqrt{1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}} d x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u \in H^{2}(0,1) \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. The boundary conditions $u(0)=u(1)=0$ are formulated by working in the space $H_{0}^{1}$, while the curvature boundary conditions $\kappa(0)=\kappa(1)=-\alpha$ arise as natural boundary conditions since also the admissible testing functions only have to be in $H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}$. By reflection it is sufficient to consider

$$
\alpha \geq 0
$$

As for symmetric solutions of (1), in [DG], we proved the following result:
Proposition 1 ([DG, Theorem 1]). There exists $\alpha_{\max }=1.343799725 \ldots$ such that for $0<\alpha<$ $\alpha_{\max }$, the Navier boundary value problem (1) has precisely two smooth (graph) solutions $u$ in the class of smooth functions that are symmetric around $x=\frac{1}{2}$. If $\alpha=\alpha_{\max }$ one has precisely one such solution, for $\alpha=0$ one only has the trivial solution and no such solutions exist for $\alpha>\alpha_{\max }$.

Both solutions are positive and one of these solutions is larger than the other. The small solutions are ordered with respect to $\alpha$ while the large ones become smaller for increasing $\alpha$, see Figure 1. For the bifurcation diagram, see Figure 2.




Figure 1: Solutions of the Navier boundary value problem (1) for $\alpha=0.2, \alpha=1$ and $\alpha=1.34$ (left to right)

It is an obvious conjecture that for $0 \leq \alpha<\alpha_{\max }$ the small solutions are (linearized) stable. This property was left open in [DG], and to prove it is the first goal of this paper.

Theorem 1. Assume that $0 \leq \alpha<\alpha_{\max }$, and that $u$ is the symmetric small solution of the Navier boundary value problem (1). Then, this solution is linearized stable, i.e. the spectrum of the (self adjoint) linearization of (1) around $u$ is contained in $(0, \infty)$.

Observing that these linearizations are the second variation of the functional $\tilde{W}_{\alpha}$, this proves that the small solution is a local minimum of the functional $\tilde{W}_{\alpha}$ in $H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. Furthermore, we will show that on $0<\alpha<\alpha_{\max }$, the large solutions are unstable. More precisely we know:

Theorem 2. Assume that $0<\alpha<\alpha_{\max }$, and that $u$ is the symmetric large solution of the Navier boundary value problem (1). Then, this solution is unstable and has Morse index 1, i.e. one eigenvalue of the (self adjoint) linearization of (1) is negative while the remaining spectrum is contained in $(0, \infty)$.


Figure 2: Bifurcation diagram for (1): The extremals value of the solution $u(1 / 2)$ (left) and of the derivative $u^{\prime}(0)$ (right) plotted over $\alpha$

We emphasize that no symmetry assumptions are made in the discussion of the linearizations of (1).

A further important question is whether the small solutions are not only a local but also a global minimum of the functional $\tilde{W}_{\alpha}$.

Theorem 3. There exists $\alpha^{*}=1.132372323 \ldots \in\left(0, \alpha_{\max }\right)$ such that for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha^{*}$ the small solution $u$ is the unique global minimum of the functional $\tilde{W}_{\alpha}$ in the class $H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. If $\alpha^{*}<\alpha \leq \alpha_{\max }$ the infimum of $\tilde{W}_{\alpha}$ in $H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ is not attained and in that case

$$
\inf _{v \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(v)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}} d \tau\right)^{2}-2 \alpha \pi
$$

The main idea of proving Theorem 3 consists in reducing the minimization of $\tilde{W}_{\alpha}$ over $H^{2} \cap$ $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ to the minimization of a function of two variables. As a by-product of this approach we shall see that the infimum of the Willmore energy in $H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ coincides with the infimum in the subspace $M$ of functions that are symmetric around $x=1 / 2$, i.e for every function in $H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$, there exists a symmetric function with the same or smaller Willmore energy. This is remarkable since we deal with a fourth order problem and the well-known symmetrization procedures do not apply.

Theorem 4. Let $M$ be the class of functions in $H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$, which are symmetric around $x=1 / 2$. Then we have

$$
\inf _{v \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(v)=\inf _{v \in M} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(v)
$$

## 2 Linearized stability

To prove Theorem 1 we describe in more detail how the symmetric solutions to (1) were obtained in [DG].

In what follows, the function

$$
\begin{align*}
& G: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow\left(-\frac{c_{0}}{2}, \frac{c_{0}}{2}\right), \quad G(s):=\int_{0}^{s} \frac{1}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}} d \tau,  \tag{4}\\
& c_{0}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}} d \tau=\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}\right)=2.396280469 \ldots,
\end{align*}
$$

plays a crucial role. It is straightforward to see that $G$ is strictly increasing, bijective with $G^{\prime}(s)>0$. So, also the inverse function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{-1}:\left(-\frac{c_{0}}{2}, \frac{c_{0}}{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is strictly increasing, bijective and smooth with $G^{-1}(0)=0$.
Lemma 1 ([DG, Lemma 4]). Let $u \in C^{4}([0,1])$ be a function symmetric around $x=1 / 2$. Then $u$ solves the Willmore equation in (1) iff there exists $c \in\left(-c_{0}, c_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in[0,1]: \quad u^{\prime}(x)=G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the curvature, one has that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(x)=-\frac{c}{\sqrt[4]{1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)^{2}}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if we additionally assume that $u(0)=u(1)=0$, then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\frac{2}{c \sqrt[4]{1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)^{2}}}-\frac{2}{c \sqrt[4]{1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{2}}} \quad(c \neq 0) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to solve the Navier boundary value problem (1), in [DG], we had to study the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h:\left(-c_{0}, c_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad h(c)=\frac{c}{\sqrt[4]{1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{2}}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The range of $h$ is precisely the set of $\alpha$, for which the Navier boundary value problem (1) has a smooth symmetric graph solution. The number of solutions $c$ of the equation $\alpha=h(c)$ is the number of such solutions of the boundary value problem.

Lemma 2 ([DG, Lemma 6]). We have $h>0$ in $\left(0, c_{0}\right), h<0$ in $\left(-c_{0}, 0\right), \lim _{c / c} h(c)=$ $\lim _{c \backslash-c_{0}} h(c)=0$. The function $h$ is odd and has precisely one local maximum in $c_{\max }=$ $1.840428142 \ldots$ and one local minimum in $c_{\min }=-c_{\max }$. The corresponding value is $\alpha_{\max }=$ $h\left(c_{\max }\right)=1.343799725 \ldots$.

The small solutions correspond precisely to $c \in\left(0, c_{\max }\right)$, the large ones to $c \in\left(c_{\max }, c_{0}\right)$. Let us fix $c \in\left(0, c_{0}\right)$ with corresponding $\alpha=h(c)$ and solution $u$ given by (8). First we have to calculate the linearization of (1) around $u$, i.e. the second variation of the modified Willmore functional $\tilde{W}_{\alpha}$ in $u$ :


Figure 3: The function $c \mapsto h(c)$

Lemma 3. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{2} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(u)(\varphi, \eta)= & 2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(x) \eta^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}} d x+5 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1-u^{\prime}(x)^{2}}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \kappa(x)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x) d x \\
& +6 \alpha\left[\frac{u^{\prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]_{0}^{1}, \quad \varphi, \eta \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. According to [DG, Lemma 2 and Corollary 1], the first variation of $\tilde{W}_{\alpha}(u)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
D \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(u)(\varphi)= & 2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\prime \prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}} d x-5 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\prime}(x) u^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{7 / 2}} d x \\
& +2 \alpha\left[\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(x)}{1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}}\right]_{0}^{1}, \quad \varphi \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to obtain the second derivative, we consider also $\eta \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ and differentiate the previous expression with respect to this direction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{2} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(u)(\varphi, \eta)= & \left.\frac{d}{d t} D \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(u+t \eta)(\varphi) \right\rvert\, t=0 \\
= & 2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(x) \eta^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}} d x-10 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\prime}(x) u^{\prime \prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{7 / 2}} d x \\
& -10 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\prime}(x) u^{\prime \prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{7 / 2}} d x-5 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{7 / 2}} d x \\
& +35 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\prime}(x)^{2} u^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{9 / 2}} d x-4 \alpha\left[\frac{u^{\prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]_{0}^{1} \\
= & 2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(x) \eta^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}} d x-5 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\kappa(x)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\sqrt{1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}}} d x \\
& -10 \int_{0}^{1} \kappa(x) \cdot \frac{u^{\prime}(x)}{\sqrt{1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}}} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \cdot \frac{d}{d x}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)\right) d x \\
& +35 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\prime}(x)^{2} \kappa(x)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d x-4 \alpha\left[\frac{u^{\prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]_{0}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

To proceed further we would like to integrate the third term by parts. Here we will exploit that $u$ is a solution to (1). In particular, $u$ is smooth and satisfies the Navier boundary data $\kappa(x)=$ $-\alpha, x \in\{0,1\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{2} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(u)(\varphi, \eta)= & 2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(x) \eta^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}} d x-5 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\kappa(x)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\sqrt{1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}}} d x \\
& +35 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\prime}(x)^{2} \kappa(x)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d x-4 \alpha\left[\frac{u^{\prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]_{0}^{1} \\
& -10\left[\kappa(x) \frac{u^{\prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}\right.}\right]_{0}^{1}+10 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\kappa^{\prime}(x) u^{\prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{2}} d x \\
& +10 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\kappa(x)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d x-30 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\kappa(x) u^{\prime}(x)^{2} u^{\prime \prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{3}} d x \\
= & 2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(x) \eta^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}} d x-5 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\kappa(x)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\sqrt{1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}}} d x \\
& +5 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\prime}(x)^{2} \kappa(x)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d x+6 \alpha\left[\frac{u^{\prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]_{0}^{1} \\
& +10 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\kappa^{\prime}(x) u^{\prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{2}} d x+10 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\kappa(x)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We infer from (6) and (7) that

$$
\forall x \in[0,1]: \quad \kappa(x)\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}=-c
$$

and hence

$$
\forall x \in[0,1]: \quad \kappa^{\prime}(x)\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}+\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime}(x) \kappa(x)^{2}\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{3 / 4}=0 .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{2} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(u)(\varphi, \eta)= & 2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(x) \eta^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}} d x-5 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\kappa(x)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\sqrt{1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}}} d x \\
& +6 \alpha\left[\frac{u^{\prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]_{0}^{1}+10 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\kappa(x)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(x) \eta^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves our claim.
Looking at $\eta$ as a test function and plugging in the representation of $u$ in terms of $c$ according to Lemmas 1 and 2, the linearization of (1) around $u$ reads as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\frac{5}{2} c^{2}\left(\frac{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)^{2}-1}{\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)^{2}\right)^{2}} \varphi^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}=0, \quad x \in(0,1)  \tag{10}\\
\varphi(0)=\varphi(1)=0, \\
\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(0)}{\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}+3 \frac{c G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right) \varphi^{\prime}(0)}{\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{9 / 4}}=0, \quad \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(1)}{\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}-3 \frac{c G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right) \varphi^{\prime}(1)}{\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{9 / 4}}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $c=0$, the small solution of (1) is $u(x) \equiv 0$, and $D^{2} \tilde{W}_{0}(u)(\varphi, \varphi)=\int_{0}^{1} \varphi^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x$ is positive definite in $H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ with respect to the $L^{2}(0,1)$-norm. Since the eigenvalues of the linearization
depend smoothly on $u$ and $u$ depends smoothly on $c, D^{2} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(u)(\varphi, \varphi)$ remains positive definite for $c$ increasing from 0 as long as (10) only has the trivial solution $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$.

We assume that (10) has a solution $\varphi$ and put

$$
\chi(x):=\varphi^{\prime}(x) .
$$

Then, there exists a constant $A \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\chi$ solves the second order differential equation

$$
\left(\frac{\chi^{\prime}(x)}{\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{5}{2} c^{2}\left(\frac{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)^{2}-1}{\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)^{2}\right)^{2}} \chi\right)=c^{2} A
$$

We introduce more suitable variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right) \in\left[-G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right), G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)\right], \quad x=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{G(y)}{c} \\
\psi(y) & :=\chi(x)=\chi\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{G(y)}{c}\right) \quad \chi(x)=\psi\left(G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)\right) ; \\
\chi^{\prime}(x) & =-c\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)^{2}\right)^{5 / 4} \psi^{\prime}\left(G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and conclude that $\psi$ solves the following boundary value problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\psi^{\prime \prime}(y)-\frac{5 y}{2\left(1+y^{2}\right)} \psi^{\prime}(y)+\frac{5\left(y^{2}-1\right)}{2\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{2}} \psi(y)=A, \quad y \in\left(-y_{0}, y_{0}\right)  \tag{11}\\
\psi^{\prime}\left(-y_{0}\right)+\frac{3 y_{0}}{1+y_{0}^{2}} \psi\left(-y_{0}\right)=0, \quad \psi^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)-\frac{3 y_{0}}{1+y_{0}^{2}} \psi\left(y_{0}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}:=G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

To simplify the boundary conditions we make a last change of variables and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(y):=\frac{\psi(y)}{\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}, \quad y \in\left[-y_{0}, y_{0}\right] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and finally come up with considering the following boundary value problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \Phi^{\prime \prime}(y)+\frac{7}{2} y\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \Phi^{\prime}(y)+\left(y^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Phi(y)=A, \quad y \in\left(-y_{0}, y_{0}\right)  \tag{14}\\
\Phi^{\prime}\left(-y_{0}\right)=\Phi^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We recall the definition of $G(y):=\int_{0}^{y} \frac{1}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}} d \tau$ and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}(y):=-2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}}, \quad \Phi_{1}(y):=\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{1+y^{2}}}, \quad \Phi_{2}(y):=\frac{G(y)}{\sqrt[4]{1+y^{2}}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, one directly verifies that the general solution of the differential equation in (14) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(y):=A \cdot \Phi_{0}(y)+\gamma_{1} \cdot \Phi_{1}(y)+\gamma_{2} \cdot \Phi_{2}(y) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $A \cdot \Phi_{0}(y)+\gamma_{1} \cdot \Phi_{1}(y)$ is even and $\gamma_{2} \cdot \Phi_{2}(y)$ is odd, the boundary conditions in (14) are equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \cdot \Phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)+\gamma_{1} \cdot \Phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)=0 \text { and } \gamma_{2} \cdot \Phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

in turn being equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}=\frac{4 A}{\sqrt[4]{1+y_{0}^{2}}} \text { and }\left(\gamma_{2}=0 \text { or } \Phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)=0\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

A beautiful coincidence between these solutions and the functions involved in the proof of Theorem 1 can be observed, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{2}(y)=\frac{1}{2} h(2 G(y)), \quad \Phi_{2}^{\prime}(y)=\frac{h^{\prime}(2 G(y))}{\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of these observations we are now ready to conclude the

Lemma 4. For $c \in\left[0, c_{0}\right) \backslash\left\{c_{\max }\right\}$, the boundary value problem (10) only has the trivial solution $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$. For $c=c_{\max }$, it has a one dimensional null space which is spanned by

$$
\varphi(x)=\frac{1}{c} \int_{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)}^{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)} G(\eta) d \eta
$$

If $c=c_{\max }, \alpha=\alpha_{\max }$, instabilities will occur first from the corresponding solution $u$ in direction of this function $\varphi$, see Figure 4.

Proof. The case $c=0$ is obvious and we consider only $c \in\left(0, c_{0}\right)$. We denote

$$
\tilde{\Phi}_{1}(y):=\Phi_{0}(y)+\frac{4}{\sqrt[4]{1+y_{0}^{2}}} \cdot \Phi_{1}(y)=\frac{2}{\sqrt[4]{1+y^{2}} \cdot \sqrt[4]{1+y_{0}^{2}}}\left(2-\frac{\sqrt[4]{1+y_{0}^{2}}}{\sqrt[4]{1+y^{2}}}\right)
$$

According to (16), we have to study

$$
\Phi(y)=A \tilde{\Phi}_{1}(y)+\gamma_{2} \Phi_{2}(y)
$$

with some suitable $A, \gamma_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\varphi$ be the corresponding solution of (10) which is obtained from $\Phi$ by tracing back the changes of variables and integrating $\chi$. We want to show first that necessarily $A=0$ for any $c \in\left[0, c_{0}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\varphi(1)-\varphi(0)=\int_{0}^{1} \chi(x) d x=\int_{0}^{1} \psi\left(G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)\right) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{c} \int_{-G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)}^{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)} \psi(y)\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{-5 / 4} d y=\frac{1}{c} \int_{-G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)}^{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)} \Phi(y)\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 4} d y \\
& =\frac{A}{c} \int_{-G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)}^{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)} \tilde{\Phi}_{1}(y)\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 4} d y+\frac{\gamma_{2}}{c} \int_{-G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)}^{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)} \Phi_{2}(y)\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 4} d y \\
& =\frac{A}{c} \int_{-G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)}^{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)} \tilde{\Phi}_{1}(y)\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 4} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\Phi_{2}$ is odd. Hence we may conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\frac{A}{c} \int_{-G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)}^{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)} \tilde{\Phi}_{1}(y)\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 4} d y \\
& =\frac{2 A}{c} \int_{-G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)}^{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{2}{\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}}-\frac{1}{\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}}\right) d y \\
& =\frac{4 A}{c} F\left(G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F$ is defined by

$$
F(\eta):=\frac{2 \eta}{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}}-\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{1}{\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}} d s
$$

Since $F(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\prime}(\eta) & =\frac{2}{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}}-\frac{\eta^{2}}{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}}-\frac{1}{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}}-\frac{\eta^{2}}{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}}=\frac{1}{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
F\left(G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)\right)>0
$$

As a consequence, $A=0$ and hence $\gamma_{1}=0$ by (18) and we are left with considering $\gamma_{2} \Phi_{2}$. We have that $h^{\prime}(c)>0$ for $c \in\left(0, c_{\max }\right)$ and $h^{\prime}(c)<0$ for $c \in\left(c_{\max }, c_{0}\right)$. By making use of

$$
\Phi_{2}^{\prime}(y)=\frac{h^{\prime}(2 G(y))}{\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}}
$$

and the boundary condition $\gamma_{2} \Phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(G^{-1}(c / 2)\right)=0$, we conclude that $\gamma_{2}=0$, provided $c \in\left(0, c_{0}\right) \backslash$ $\left\{c_{\max }\right\}$. If $c=c_{\max }$, then $\Phi_{2}$ is a nontrivial solution of (14). For the corresponding nontrivial solution $\varphi$ of (10), making use of the boundary conditions $\varphi(0)=\varphi(1)=0$ we obtain that

$$
\varphi(x)=\gamma_{2} \frac{c_{\max }}{2} \int_{0}^{x}\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c_{\max }}{2}-c_{\max } \xi\right)^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}(1-2 \xi) d \xi=\frac{\gamma_{2}}{c_{\max }} \int_{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c_{\max }}{2}-c_{\max } x\right)}^{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c_{\max }}{2}\right)} G(\eta) d \eta
$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is now immediate. By the preceding lemma we have that on $\left[0, c_{\max }\right), 0$ is not an eigenvalue of (10). Since $D^{2} \tilde{W}_{0}(u)(\varphi, \varphi)$ is positive definite in $H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ with respect to the $L^{2}(0,1)$-norm, by continuity, the same holds true for $D^{2} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(u)(\varphi, \varphi)$ for $c \in\left[0, c_{\max }\right)$, which is the stated linearized stability of the corresponding small solutions of (1).


Figure 4: Profile of the unstable direction in $c=c_{\max }$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain a global existence result for the geometric flow associated with (1), namely

$$
V=-\kappa_{s s}-\frac{1}{2} \kappa^{3} \quad \text { on } \Gamma(t) .
$$

Here, $V$ denotes the upward normal velocity of the evolving graphs $\Gamma(t)=\{(x, v(x, t)) \mid x \in[0,1]\}$. The above evolution law then leads to the parabolic initial-boundary value problem (21) below. The principle of linearized stability as it was proved in great generality by Latushkin, Prüss and Schnaubelt [LPS, Proposition 16] can be applied to our situation and allows us to obtain global existence and asymptotic stability for initial data close to a small solution to (1).

Corollary 1. Assume that $c \in\left[0, c_{\max }\right)$ and let $\alpha=h(c)=\frac{c}{\sqrt[4]{1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{2}}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\frac{2}{c \sqrt[4]{1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)^{2}}}-\frac{2}{c \sqrt[4]{1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{2}}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the corresponding small solution of (1). We fix some $p>5$. Then, there exist $\delta, \rho, C>0$ such that for $v_{0} \in W^{4, p}(0,1)$ with $v_{0}(0)=v_{0}(1)=0, \kappa_{v_{0}}(0)=\kappa_{v_{0}}(1)=-\alpha$ and

$$
\left\|v_{0}-u\right\|_{W^{4, p}(0,1)} \leq \delta
$$

there exists a global solution $v \in L^{p}\left(0, \infty, W^{4, p}(0,1)\right) \cap W^{1, p}\left(0, \infty, L^{p}(0,1)\right)$ of the initial Navier boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{v_{t}(t, x)}{\sqrt{1+v_{x}(t, x)^{2}}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+v_{x}(t, x)^{2}}} \frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{\kappa_{v, x}(t, x)}{\sqrt{1+v_{x}(t, x)^{2}}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \kappa_{v}^{3}(t, x)=0, & (t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times[0,1],  \tag{21}\\ v(t, 0)=v(t, 1)=0, \quad \kappa_{v}(t, 0)=\kappa_{v}(t, 1)=-\alpha, & t \in[0, \infty), \\ v(0, x)=v_{0}(x), & x \in[0,1] .\end{cases}
$$

One has exponential convergence towards the steady state $u$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v(t, .)-u(.)\|_{W^{4, p}(0,1)} \leq C \exp (-\rho t) \quad(t \geq 1) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. With similar but simpler techniques and calculations one finds that the unique solution (cf. [DG, Theorem 2]) being symmetric around $x=1 / 2$ of the Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+u_{x}\left(x^{2}\right.}} \frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{\kappa_{x}(x)}{\sqrt{1+u_{x}(x)^{2}}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \kappa^{3}(t, x)=0, \quad x \in[0,1],  \tag{23}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0, \quad u_{x}(0)=-u_{x}(1)=\beta,
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, is (linearized) stable. Analogously, a global existence result follows for the following initial Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{v_{t}(t, x)}{\sqrt{1+v_{x}(t, x)^{2}}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+v_{x}(t, x)^{2}}} \frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{\kappa_{v, x}(t, x)}{\sqrt{1+v_{x}(t, x)^{2}}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \kappa_{v}^{3}(t, x)=0, & (t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times[0,1],  \tag{24}\\ v(t, 0)=v(t, 1)=0, \quad v_{x}(t, 0)=-v_{x}(t, 1)=\beta, & t \in[0, \infty), \\ v(0, x)=v_{0}(x), & x \in[0,1],\end{cases}
$$

provided the initial datum $v_{0}$ obeys the same boundary data and is sufficiently close to the stationary solution $u$ of (23) with respect to the $W^{4, p}$-norm, $(p>5)$.

## 3 Morse index of the large solution

For $c \in\left(0, c_{0}\right)$ we consider as in (8)

$$
u_{c}(x)=\frac{2}{c \sqrt[4]{1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)^{2}}}-\frac{2}{c \sqrt[4]{1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{2}}}
$$

In order to prove Theorem 2 we have to show that exactly one eigenvalue of the quadratic form

$$
\varphi \mapsto D^{2} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}\left(u_{c}\right)(\varphi, \varphi), \quad \alpha=h(c)
$$

passes through 0 when $c$ passes through $c_{\max }$ and that for $c \in\left(c_{\max }, c_{0}\right), 0$ is not an eigenvalue of $D^{2} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}\left(u_{c}\right)$, i.e. of (10). The latter was already done in Lemma 4. Moreover, its proof yields that is at most one eigenvalue, which crosses 0 in $c=c_{\max }$. It remains to show that for $c>c_{\max }$ and suitable $\varphi \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$, one has that one has indeed $D^{2} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}\left(u_{c}\right)(\varphi, \varphi)<0$. Making use of the same transformations and notations of Section 2 and restricting ourselves to symmetric $\varphi$ we find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{2} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}\left(u_{c}\right)(\varphi, \varphi)= & 2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\chi^{\prime}(x)^{2}}{\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}} d x-5 c^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)^{2}-1}{\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}-c x\right)^{2}\right)^{2}} \chi(x)^{2} d x \\
& -12 h(c) \frac{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)}{\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}} \chi(1)^{2} \\
= & 2 c \int_{-G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)}^{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)} \frac{\psi^{\prime}(y)^{2}}{\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}} d y-5 c \int_{-G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)}^{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)} \frac{\left(y^{2}-1\right)}{\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{13 / 4}} \psi(y)^{2} d y \\
& -12 \frac{c G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)}{\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{9 / 4}} \psi\left(G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose

$$
\psi_{c}(y):=\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \Phi_{2}(y)=\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{5 / 4} G(y)
$$

and obtain for the corresponding $\varphi_{c} \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{4 c} D^{2} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}\left(u_{c}\right)\left(\varphi_{c}, \varphi_{c}\right)= & \int_{0}^{G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)}\left(\left(\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}+\frac{5}{2} y G(y)\right)^{2}-\frac{5}{2}\left(y^{2}-1\right) G(y)^{2}\right) \frac{d y}{\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{3 / 4}} \\
& -\frac{3}{4} c^{2} G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)\left(1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

According to Theorem 1 we know that this expression is equal to 0 for $c=c_{\text {max }}$. Writing $c=2 G(d)$ we see that the asymptotic behaviour of the right hand side is dominated by

$$
\frac{c_{0}^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{25}{4} \cdot \frac{2}{3}-\frac{5}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{3}-3\right) d^{3 / 2}=-\frac{c_{0}^{2}}{8} d^{3 / 2} \rightarrow-\infty
$$

for $d \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $c \nearrow c_{0}$. This shows, together with Lemma 4 that $D^{2} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}\left(u_{c}\right)\left(\varphi_{c}, \varphi_{c}\right)<0$ for $c \in\left(c_{\max }, c_{0}\right)$ and concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

The right hand side of $(25)$ is plotted in Figure 5. Since $\varphi_{c} \rightarrow 0$ for $c \searrow 0$, the curve starts in $(0,0)$ although there, $D^{2} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}\left(u_{0}\right)$ is positive definite.


Figure 5: $\frac{1}{4 c} D^{2} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}\left(u_{c}\right)\left(\varphi_{c}, \varphi_{c}\right)$

## 4 Global minima and symmetry

The aim of this section is to examine whether the small solutions which were found to be local minima in Section 2 are also global minima for the functional $\tilde{W}_{\alpha}$. In what follows it will be convenient to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{W}_{\alpha}(v) & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\kappa(x)^{2}+2 \alpha \kappa(x)\right) \sqrt{1+v^{\prime}(x)^{2}} d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \kappa(x)^{2} \sqrt{1+v^{\prime}(x)^{2}} d x+2 \alpha\left[\arctan \left(v^{\prime}(x)\right)\right]_{0}^{1}=: W(v)+B C_{\alpha}(v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark that all quantities are geometric and so, invariant under rotation. Moreover, when stretching a curve by a factor $k, W$ is multiplied by a factor $1 / k$ while $B C_{\alpha}$ remains unchanged. We shall see that the task of minimizing $\tilde{W}_{\alpha}$ can be reduced to a minimization problem for a function of two variables. As a by-product of the analysis of this function we find that in order to determine $\inf _{v \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(v)$ it is sufficient to minimize over all symmetric functions. The reduction to a two-dimensional problem is achieved in two steps. We begin by showing that it is enough to consider concave functions.

Lemma 5. Suppose that $u \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ is not concave. Then there exists a concave function $v \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ with $\tilde{W}_{\alpha}(v)<\tilde{W}_{\alpha}(u)$.
Proof. It is natural to think of $v$ as the concave envelope of $u$, so that we are led to consider the following obstacle problem: find $v \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \eta \in K \quad \int_{0}^{1} v^{\prime}\left(\eta^{\prime}-v^{\prime}\right) \geq 0, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=\left\{\eta \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1) \mid \eta \geq u\right.$ a.e. in $\left.(0,1)\right\}$. It is shown in Chapter IV of $[\mathrm{KS}]$ that $v$ can be obtained as the limit of a sequence $\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$, where $v_{\varepsilon} \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ solves

$$
\begin{equation*}
-v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}=\left(-u^{\prime \prime}\right)^{+} \vartheta_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-u\right) \quad \text { in }(0,1) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\vartheta_{\varepsilon}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$
\vartheta_{\varepsilon}(t)= \begin{cases}1, & t<0 \\ 1-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, & 0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon \\ 0, & t>\varepsilon\end{cases}
$$

It follows from the analysis in $[\mathrm{KS}]$ that $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow v$ in $H^{1}(0,1), v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime} \rightharpoonup v^{\prime \prime}$ in $L^{2}(0,1)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, so that $v \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ and $v^{\prime \prime} \leq 0$ a.e. in $(0,1)$; in particular $v$ is concave. Denoting by $I=\{x \in[0,1] \mid v(x)=u(x)\}$ the coincidence set, we have that $v^{\prime \prime}=0$ a.e. in $[0,1] \backslash I$. Furthermore, using (27)

$$
\begin{aligned}
W(v) & =\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left|v^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}}{\left(1+\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}}=\int_{I} \frac{\left|v^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}}{\left(1+\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I} \frac{\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}}{\left(1+\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \\
& \leq \int_{I} \frac{\left|\left(-u^{\prime \prime}\right)^{+}\right|^{2}}{\left(1+\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left|\left(-u^{\prime \prime}\right)^{+}\right|^{2}}{\left(1+\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}}{\left(1+\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}}=W(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we had $W(v)=W(u)$, then the above argument would imply that $\left(-u^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-}=0$ a.e. in $(0,1)$ and therefore $u^{\prime \prime} \leq 0$ a.e. in $(0,1)$ contradicting our assumption that $u$ is not concave. Hence $W(u)<$ $W(v)$; since $v \geq u$ we have that $u^{\prime}(0) \leq v^{\prime}(0)$ and $u^{\prime}(1) \geq v^{\prime}(1)$ and therefore $\tilde{W}_{\alpha}(v)<\tilde{W}_{\alpha}(u)$.

In what follows we shall make use of the prototype solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{0}(x)=\frac{2}{c_{0} \sqrt[4]{1+G^{-1}\left(\frac{c_{0}}{2}-c_{0} x\right)^{2}}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formally, it is the large solution of the Navier boundary value problem (1) for $\alpha=0$. However, one should observe that this solution is no longer smooth as a graph near $x=0$ and $x=1$, and for this reason, it was not included in Proposition 1.
Suppose that $0 \leq x_{0}<x_{1} \leq 1$ are two points with $x_{1}-x_{0}<1$. Then $U_{0 \mid\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]}$ can be written as a graph over the segment connecting $\left(x_{0}, U_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, U_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$. We denote by $u_{x_{0}, x_{1}}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the strictly concave function which is obtained by translating, rotating and rescaling the above graph to the unit interval $[0,1]$. Note that $u_{x_{0}, x_{1}} \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. Our next lemma essentially reduces the minimization of $\tilde{W}_{\alpha}$ to a two-dimensional minimization problem.
Lemma 6. Suppose that $u \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1) \backslash\{0\}$ is concave. Then there exist $0 \leq x_{0}<x_{1} \leq 1, x_{1}-$ $x_{0}<1$ such that $v=u_{x_{0}, x_{1}}$ satisfies $B C_{\alpha}(u)=B C_{\alpha}(v)$ and either $W(v) \leq W(u), u^{\prime}(0)=v^{\prime}(0)$ or $W(v)<W(u), u^{\prime}(0) \neq v^{\prime}(0)$.

Proof. Let us denote by $\beta_{\ell}$ and $\beta_{r}$ the boundary angles of graph(u) on the left and on the right respectively. Since $u$ is assumed to be concave and nontrivial we have $\beta_{\ell}, \beta_{r} \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. Consider

$$
\mathcal{K}:=\operatorname{graph}\left(U_{0}\right) \cup\{(0, y): y \leq 0\} \cup\{(1, y): y \leq 0\} .
$$

This is no longer neither a graph nor a solution of the Willmore equation. However, it is a regular $H^{2}$-curve, locally an $H^{2}$-graph over the $x$ - or the $y$-axis respectively and it has minimal Willmore energy $c_{0}^{2}$ among all concave curves connecting any point from $\{(0, y): y \leq 0\}$ with any point from $\{(1, y): y \leq 0\}$ with tangential directions $(0,1)$ and $(0,-1)$ respectively. This minimality follows similarly as in [DG, end of Section 5].
Claim: There exist two points $P=\left(x_{P}, y_{P}\right), Q=\left(x_{Q}, y_{Q}\right) \in \mathcal{K}, P \neq Q$ such that the segment $[P, Q]$ intersects $\mathcal{K}$ under the angles $\beta_{\ell}$ at $P$ and $\beta_{r}$ at $Q$.
To see this, we start with the point $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=(1,0)$ and the orthogonal straight line through this point. This line intersects the left part of $\mathcal{K}$ in $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ under a right angle. Now we move the point $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and the corresponding orthogonal straight line counterclockwise. The corresponding ( $x_{0}, y_{0}$ ) finally moves down, the intersection angle (at least finally) decreases and becomes arbitrarily small. In particular, the left angle $\beta_{\ell}$ is attained. Now we keep this angle fixed and move the point ( $x_{0}, y_{0}$ ) clockwise. We consider ( $x_{1}, y_{1}$ ) on the right part of $\mathcal{K}$ as intersection point with the straight line


Figure 6: Left angle $\beta_{\ell}$, right angle $\pi / 2$
building the angle $\beta_{\ell}$ with $\mathcal{K}$ in $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. At the beginning this right angle is $\pi / 2$ while it becomes arbitrarily small when ( $x_{0}, y_{0}$ ) moves clockwise. In particular, $\beta_{r}$ is attained as angle on the right and the claim is proved.
In view of the above-mentioned minimality property of $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ enjoys a similar minimality among those arcs with boundary angels $\beta_{\ell}, \beta_{r}$. We infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(\mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{1}{|P-Q|} W(u), \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ denotes the subarc of $\mathcal{K}$ between $P$ and $Q$. Observing that by construction $y_{P}$ and $y_{Q}$ cannot both be negative we may distinguish two cases:
Case 1: $y_{P} \geq 0$ and $y_{Q} \geq 0$. Setting $x_{0}=x_{P}, x_{1}=x_{Q}$ we have $x_{1}-x_{0}<1$ since $\beta_{\ell}, \beta_{r} \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. The function $v=u_{x_{0}, x_{1}}$ then satisfies

$$
W(v)=|P-Q| W\left(\mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right) \leq W(u)
$$

as well as $v^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(0)$ and $v^{\prime}(1)=u^{\prime}(1)$.
Case 2: Either $y_{P}<0$ or $y_{Q}<0$. If $y_{P}<0$, then $y_{Q}>0$ since $\beta_{r}<\frac{\pi}{2}$ and we let $x_{0}=0, x_{1}=x_{Q}$ as well as $v=u_{x_{0}, x_{1}}$. Denoting by $L\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ the length of the segment connecting $\left(x_{0}, U_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, U_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$ we have

$$
W(v)=L\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) W\left(\mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{L\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)}{|P-Q|} W(u)<W(u)
$$

since $u \neq 0$ and by construction any point on $\operatorname{graph}\left(U_{0}\right)$ is strictly closer to $(0,0)$ that to any other point on $\{(0, y) \mid y<0\}$. A similar argument applies if $y_{Q}<0$. Finally note that while $B C_{\alpha}(u)=B C_{\alpha}(v)$ we have $u^{\prime}(0) \neq v^{\prime}(0)$ in this case.

We deduce from Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 that when determining $\inf _{v \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(v)$ it is sufficient to calculate the Willmore energy for functions $v=u_{x_{0}, x_{1}}$ with $0 \leq x_{0}<x_{1} \leq 1$ and $x_{1}-x_{0}<1$. The integrand for $W$ on $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$ is $c_{0}^{2}$, so the integral is $c_{0}^{2} \cdot\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)$. The length of
the base line is $\left(\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(U_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)-U_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. As for $B C_{\alpha}$, we have $2 \alpha\left(\arctan \left(U_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)-\right.$ $\left.\arctan \left(U_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right)$. After rotation and rescaling we come up with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{W}_{\alpha}\left(u_{x_{0}, x_{1}}\right)= & c_{0}^{2} \cdot\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(U_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)-U_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +2 \alpha\left(\arctan \left(U_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)-\arctan \left(U_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
= & c_{0}^{2} \cdot\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) \\
& \left(\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{4}{c_{0}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{1+G^{-1}\left(c_{0} / 2-c_{0} x_{1}\right)^{2}}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{1+G^{-1}\left(c_{0} / 2-c_{0} x_{0}\right)^{2}}}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +2 \alpha\left(\arctan \left(G^{-1}\left(c_{0} / 2-c_{0} x_{1}\right)\right)-\arctan \left(G^{-1}\left(c_{0} / 2-c_{0} x_{0}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now introduce the new variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0}:=G^{-1}\left(c_{0} / 2-c_{0} x_{0}\right), \quad d_{1}:=-G^{-1}\left(c_{0} / 2-c_{0} x_{1}\right), \quad d_{1}>-d_{0} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
x_{0}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{c_{0}} G\left(d_{0}\right), \quad x_{1}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{c_{0}} G\left(d_{1}\right) .
$$

Defining

$$
\hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right):=\tilde{W}_{\alpha}\left(u_{x_{0}, x_{1}}\right)
$$

we end up with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right)= & \left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)\left(\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+4\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& -2 \alpha\left(\arctan \left(d_{0}\right)+\arctan \left(d_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following result summarizes what we have achieved so far.
Theorem 5. Let $\alpha \geq 0$. Then

$$
\inf _{v \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(v)=\inf _{\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, d_{1} \geq-d_{0}} \hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right) .
$$

It remains to discuss the two-dimensional function $\hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right),\left(d_{1} \geq-d_{0}\right)$. Here, the key step is proving positivity for the following expression:

Lemma 7. For $d_{1}>-d_{0}$ we have that

$$
\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\frac{d_{0}}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{0}^{2}}}+\frac{d_{1}}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{1}^{2}}}\right)-\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2}-2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{0}^{2}}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{1}^{2}}}\right)^{2}>0
$$

Proof. By the fundamental theorem of calculus and since $G$ is odd we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right) & =G\left(d_{0}\right)-G\left(-d_{1}\right)=\int_{-d_{1}}^{d_{0}} \frac{1}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}} d \tau \\
\frac{d_{0}}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{0}^{2}}}+\frac{d_{1}}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{1}^{2}}} & =\left[\frac{\tau}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}}\right]_{-d_{1}}^{d_{0}}=\int_{-d_{1}}^{d_{0}} \frac{1+\frac{1}{2} \tau^{2}}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}} d \tau \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{0}^{2}}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{1}^{2}}} & =\left[\frac{1}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}}\right]_{-d_{1}}^{d_{0}}=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-d_{1}}^{d_{0}} \frac{\tau}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}} d \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 7: Cross section of the graph of $\hat{W}_{1}$ along the axis $d_{0}=d_{1}$
One may observe that $d_{1}>-d_{0}$ is equivalent to $-d_{1}<d_{0}$. The first two terms in the expression under consideration combine as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\frac{d_{0}}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{0}^{2}}}+\frac{d_{1}}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{1}^{2}}}\right)-\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{-d_{1}}^{d_{0}} \frac{1}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}} d \tau\right) \cdot\left(\int_{-d_{1}}^{d_{0}} \frac{\tau^{2}}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}} d \tau\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and make use of $\tau \mapsto \frac{1}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{5 / 8}}$ and $\tau \mapsto \frac{\tau}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{5 / 8}}$ being linearly independent:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{0}^{2}}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{1}^{2}}}\right)^{2} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{-d_{1}}^{d_{0}} \frac{\tau}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}} d \tau\right)^{2} \\
& <\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{-d_{1}}^{d_{0}} \frac{1}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}} d \tau\right) \cdot\left(\int_{-d_{1}}^{d_{0}} \frac{\tau^{2}}{\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}} d \tau\right) \\
& =\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\frac{d_{0}}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{0}^{2}}}+\frac{d_{1}}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{1}^{2}}}\right)-\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

thereby proving the claim.
Next we show that in the open interior of the domain of definition of the two dimensional energy function $\hat{W}_{\alpha}$, critical points may occur at most on the diagonal, i.e. on symmetric graphs in the original context.
Lemma 8. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right) \mapsto & \hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right) \\
= & \left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+4\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& -2 \alpha\left(\arctan \left(d_{0}\right)+\arctan \left(d_{1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

has a critical point $\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right)$ with $d_{1}>-d_{0}$. Then

$$
d_{0}=d_{1}
$$

Proof. In a critical point of $\hat{W}_{\alpha}$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \frac{\partial}{\partial d_{0}} \hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+4\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \cdot\left(2\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-5 / 4}-4 d_{0}\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-5 / 4}\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-5 / 4}\left(\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+4\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& -2 \alpha \frac{1}{1+d_{0}^{2}} ; \\
0= & \frac{\partial}{\partial d_{1}} \hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+4\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \cdot\left(2\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-5 / 4}+4 d_{1}\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-5 / 4}\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)\right)  \tag{31}\\
& +\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-5 / 4}\left(\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+4\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& -2 \alpha \frac{1}{1+d_{1}^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Equivalently:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+4\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \cdot\left(\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-2 d_{0}\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\left(\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+4\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-2 \alpha ; \\
0= & \left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+4\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \cdot\left(\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}+2 d_{1}\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\left(\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+4\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-2 \alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subtracting both equations yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+4\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \cdot\left\{\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2}-2\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)\left(\frac{d_{0}}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{0}^{2}}}+\frac{d_{1}}{\sqrt[4]{1+d_{0}^{2}}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\left(G\left(d_{0}\right)+G\left(d_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+4\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)^{2}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 7, the curly bracket is strictly negative, since we assume that $d_{1}>-d_{0}$. We conclude that

$$
0=\left(\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}-\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right)
$$

which yields that $d_{0}=d_{1}$.
We are now in position to solve the two-dimensional minimization problem.
Proposition 2. Let $0<\alpha \leq \alpha_{\max }$. There exists $\alpha^{*}=1.132372323 \ldots \in\left(0, \alpha_{\max }\right)$ such that

$$
\inf _{\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, d_{1} \geq-d_{0}} \hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}\hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right), G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)\right), & 0<\alpha \leq \alpha^{*} \\ c_{0}^{2}-2 \alpha \pi, & \alpha^{*}<\alpha \leq \alpha_{\max }\end{cases}
$$

where $c \in\left(0, c_{\max }\right)$ solves $h(c)=\alpha$. In the first case $d_{0}=d_{1}=G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)$ is the only point for which the minimum is attained, while it is not attained for $\alpha^{*}<\alpha \leq \alpha_{\max }$.
Proof. In view of Lemma 8 and the symmetry of $\hat{W}_{\alpha}$,

$$
\inf _{\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, d_{1} \geq-d_{0}} \hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right)
$$

is the minimum between

$$
\begin{gather*}
\inf _{d \in(0, \infty)} \hat{W}_{\alpha}(d, d)  \tag{32}\\
\inf _{d \in \mathbb{R}} \hat{W}_{\alpha}(d,-d)=0 \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{d \in \mathbb{R}} \hat{W}_{\alpha}(d, \infty) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\hat{W}_{\alpha}(d, d)=4 G(d)^{2}-4 \alpha \arctan (d)
$$

is certainly negative for $d>0$ close to 0 , we see that $\inf _{d \in(0, \infty)} \hat{W}_{\alpha}(d, d)<0$, so we need not consider (33). As for (34) we have

$$
\hat{W}_{\alpha}(d, \infty)=\left(G(d)+\frac{c_{0}}{2}\right) \cdot\left(\left(G(d)+\frac{c_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}+4\left(1+d^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)^{1 / 2}-2 \alpha\left(\arctan (d)+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)
$$

It is sufficient to discuss local mimima, since $\hat{W}_{\alpha}(\infty, \infty)$ is already covered by $(32)$ and $\hat{W}_{\alpha}(-\infty, \infty)=$ 0 by (33). Passing to the $c=2 G(d)$-variable, we see that $\hat{W}_{\alpha}$ attains its minimum on $\left\{\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right)\right.$ : $\left.d_{0} \in[-\infty, \infty], d_{1} \in\left[-d_{0}, \infty\right]\right\}$. For fixed $d_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$, we infer from (31) that for $d_{1}$ large enough, $\frac{\partial \hat{W}_{\alpha}}{\partial d_{1}}>0$. This follows since the slowest term $4 d_{1}\left(1+d_{1}^{2}\right)^{-5 / 4}\left(1+d_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}$ decays of order $-3 / 2$ and
has a positive coefficient. Hence, the minimum is not attained on $\mathbb{R} \times\{\infty\}$, but either in $(\infty, \infty)$ or in the interior of our domain. This proves that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, d_{1} \geq-d_{0}} \hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right)=\inf _{d \in(0, \infty)} \hat{W}_{\alpha}(d, d) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to evaluate the right hand side of (35). Let

$$
\phi(d):=\hat{W}_{\alpha}(d, d)=4 G(d)^{2}-4 \alpha \arctan (d) .
$$

We have

$$
\phi^{\prime}(d)=\frac{8 G(d)}{\left(1+d^{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{4}}}-\frac{4 \alpha}{1+d^{2}}=\frac{4}{1+d^{2}}(h(2 G(d))-\alpha),
$$

with $h$ defined in (9). Thus, $\phi^{\prime}(d)=0$ if and only if $d=G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)$, where $c$ is one of the solutions of $h(c)=\alpha$. Only the solution $c \in\left(0, c_{\max }\right)$ is a local minimum so that

$$
\inf _{d \in(0, \infty)} \phi(d)=\min \left(c^{2}-4 \alpha \arctan \left(G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)\right), c_{0}^{2}-2 \alpha \pi\right)
$$

taking into account that $\phi(0)=0$ and $\phi(d)<0$ for small $d>0$. In order to calculate the last minimum we introduce the following auxiliary function $f:\left[0, c_{\max }\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
f(c):=c_{0}^{2}-2 h(c) \pi-c^{2}+4 h(c) \arctan G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right) .
$$

We find that $f(0)=c_{0}^{2}>0, f\left(c_{\max }\right)=-0.6674542140 \ldots<0$ and a short calculation shows that

$$
f^{\prime}(c)=\left(4 \arctan G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)-2 \pi\right) h^{\prime}(c)<0, \quad c \in\left(0, c_{\max }\right)
$$

so that $f$ has a unique zero

$$
c^{*}=1.274998908 \ldots \in\left[0, c_{\max }\right] \text { with } \alpha^{*}:=h\left(c^{*}\right)=1.132372323 \ldots
$$

This proves the formula for $\inf _{\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, d_{1} \geq-d_{0}} \hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right)$. The uniqueness of the minimum for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha^{*}$ follows from Lemma 2 .

We are now in position to prove Theorem 3 and Theorem 4. The second result is an immediate consequence of (35) and Theorem 5. As for Theorem 3 we focus on the case $0<\alpha \leq \alpha^{*}$. Let $c \in\left(0, c_{\max }\right)$ be the unique solution of $h(c)=\alpha$ with corresponding small solution $u_{c}$. Clearly,

$$
\tilde{W}_{\alpha}\left(u_{c}\right)=\hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right), G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)\right)=\inf _{\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, d_{1} \geq-d_{0}} \hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right)=\inf _{v \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(v)
$$

by Proposition 2 and Lemma 6. It remains to show that $u_{c}$ is the only function in $H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ for which the minimum is attained. Suppose that $u \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ satisfies $\tilde{W}_{\alpha}(u)=\inf _{v \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)} \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(v)$. In view of Lemma $5 u$ is necessarily concave. Let $v=u_{x_{0}, x_{1}} \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ be the function appearing in Lemma 6 with $d_{0}, d_{1}$ given by (30). Using the minimality of $u$, Proposition 2 and Lemma 6 we obtain

$$
\tilde{W}_{\alpha}(u) \leq \tilde{W}_{\alpha}\left(u_{c}\right)=\hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right), G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)\right) \leq \hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right)=\tilde{W}_{\alpha}(v) \leq \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(u) .
$$

This implies that $\hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right), G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)\right)=\hat{W}_{\alpha}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right)$ and hence by Proposition 2 that $d_{0}=d_{1}=$ $G^{-1}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)$ so that $v=u_{c}$. In particular we infer with the help of Lemma 6 that


Figure 8: Graphs of the function $c \mapsto \tilde{W}_{\alpha}\left(u_{c}\right)$ for $\alpha=1.1, \alpha=\alpha^{*}$ and $\alpha=1.34$ (left to right)
$u^{\prime}(0)=v^{\prime}(0)=u_{c}^{\prime}(0)$ and $u^{\prime}(1)=v^{\prime}(1)=u_{c}^{\prime}(1)$. As a consequence we have $B C_{\alpha}(u)=B C_{\alpha}\left(u_{c}\right)$ and therefore $W(u)=W\left(u_{c}\right)$. However, in view of Theorem 2 in [DG] $u_{c}$ is the unique minimum of $W$ in the class $M_{\beta}=\left\{w \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1) \mid w^{\prime}(0)=-w^{\prime}(1)=\beta\right\}\left(\beta=u_{c}^{\prime}(0)\right)$ so that we must have $u=u_{c}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3 .

For selected values of $\alpha$, Fig. 8 shows plots of the function $c \mapsto \tilde{W}_{\alpha}\left(u_{c}\right)$ on the interval $\left[0, c_{0}\right)$.
Acknowledgment: The authors thank N. Masel (Minsk) for pointing out an error in an earlier version of Lemma 3.
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